

**REGULAR COUNCIL MEETING #4
FEBRUARY 25, 2008**

The Regular Meeting of Council of the City of Camrose was held in Council Chambers, City Hall on Monday, February 25, 2008 with the following persons in attendance:

Council: Mayor C. Mastel; Councillors G. Galenza; I. Nielsen; K. Pratt;
W. Thronson; D. Shillington; R. McIsaac. and J. Howard.
Absent: Councillor M. Lindstrand.
Administration: Manager – B. Hamblin; Manager of Corporate and Protective Services–
D. Herle; Engineer – T. Gillespie; and Director of Planning and
Development– B. Hisey.

Mayor Mastel called the meeting to order at 5:00 pm and Pastor Klontz offered a prayer.

A-1 and A-2 ADOPTION OF AGENDA/MINUTES:

Adoption of Agenda
MOTION #64/08

Moved by Shillington - seconded by Thronson that the agenda of Regular Council Meeting #4/08 dated February 25/08 be approved, with the deletion of Agenda Item B-3.

MOTION CARRIED 8-0

Adoption of Minutes
MOTION #65/08

Moved by Nielsen - seconded by McIsaac that the minutes of Regular Council Meeting #3 held February 4, 2008 be approved, as circulated.

MOTION CARRIED 8-0.

A-3 BUSINESS ARISING OUT OF THE MINUTES: - Nil –

B-1 PUBLIC HEARING re: BY-LAW #2576/08 – CHURCHES:

Mayor Mastel declared the Public Hearing opened and asked for submissions with regards to the proposed By-Law.

Damian Herle, Manager of Corporate and Protective Services, introduced the public hearing and advised that this matter was adjourned from February 4, 2008.

Director of Planning and Development Brenda Hisey reviewed her report to Council which included the following attachments:

- 1) Letter dated December 13/07 from Camrose Word of Life Centre Church & Ministries requesting an amendment to the Land Use By-Law.
- 2) E-mail from Robert Earley on behalf of City Center Camrose noting five responses have been received from CCC board members and that four of the five are in favour of the proposal.

Pastor Handford, from the Camrose Word of Life Centre Church & Ministries, advised Council that he had made the application and advised that their proposed location would probably not hold more than 100 occupants.

As there were no further written or verbal submissions, the Public Hearing was then adjourned.

